The De Beers Gahcho Kué Project Joint Venture

Dewatering Experience, Winter 2014-2015

Nathan Schmidt, Golder Associates Ltd.







Thanks to:

Patrick Kramers, Veronica Chisholm, Allan Rodel & Andrew Williams - De Beers Group of Companies

Tim Ensom, Dave Casson, Charity Beres, John Faithful - Golder Associates Ltd.











- 280 km northeast of Yellowknife
- Joint Venture between De Beers Canada (51% - the Operator) & Mountain Province Diamonds (49%)
- 3 kimberlite pipes to be mined via open pit
- Mine Life ~12 years
- Average annual production: ~4.5 million carats
- ~\$1B capital cost
- Operational workforce of ~400













Project Overview Project Location Project Features Water Management Winter Dewatering Challenges Local Conditions Monitoring Plan Monitoring Results at Area 8 at Lake N11

Stage 1 Dewatering:

- construct Dyke A to isolate Area 8 from the rest of Kennady Lake;
- install pump and pipeline, and discharge water from Area 7 to Area 8;
- install pump and pipeline, and discharge water from Area 3 to Lake N11









- Open Water Dewatering Limits:
 - established during EIS
 - designed to protect the downstream environment from erosion during dewatering
 - total discharge limited to natural 2-year flood
 - 1.56 m³/s (135,000 m³/d) at Area 8
- 6.00 m³/s (500,000 m³/d) at Lake N11
- Stage 1 Dewatering Objectives:
 - discharge 18.7 Mm³ of water from Kennady Lake
 - maximum rate of 0.77 m³/s (67,000 m³/d) to Area 8
 - maximum rate of 1.50 m³/s (130,000 m³/d) to Lake N11
 - maximum rates were limited by system capacity, but adequate to meet project timelines













Project Overview Project Location Project Features Water Management Winter Dewatering Challenges Local Conditions Monitoring Plan Monitoring Results at Area 8 at Lake N11 Downstream Conclusions

Why dewater in the winter?

- The regulatory process required the full review period.
- The Type A Water Licence for the Mine was issued on September 24, 2014
- Dyke A needed to be built before dewatering
- Without winter dewatering, construction would be delayed by over six months
- De Beers anticipated the need for winter dewatering and had introduced this during the regulatory process
- The Type A Water Licence included conditions on winter dewatering, as established in the Construction Water Management Plan and Aquatic Effects Monitoring Program







Project Overview Project Location Project Features Water Management Winter Dewatering Challenges Local Conditions Monitoring Plan Monitoring Results at Area 8 at Lake N11 Downstream Conclusions

Challenges with Winter Dewatering

- Need discharge water to be conveyed far downstream to free-flowing water bodies
- Ice dams at lake outlets could cause *aufeis* accumulations and increases in upstream water levels; adverse effects include:
 - Increasing spring freshet flood peak discharges
 - Constricting flow, increasing velocity / erosion potential
 - Diverting spring runoff along alternate routes, causing erosion and new channel formation
 - Forcing water into lake and channel banks and causing sloughing during melt
 - Thick channel ice deposits can adversely affect spring spawning fish







Project Overview Project Location Project Features Water Management Winter Dewatering Challenges Local Conditions Monitoring Plan Monitoring Results at Area 8 at Lake N11 Downstream Conclusions



Natural Freshet at Lake L1a Outlet: Bedfast Ice

Examples of Aufeis Effects



Example of Aufeis Deposit Concentrating Freshet Runoff (photo not taken at Gahcho Kué)







Project Overview Project Location Project Features Water Management Winter Dewatering Challenges Local Conditions Monitoring Plan Monitoring Results at Area 8 at Lake N11 Downstream Conclusions

De Beers

GROUP OF COMPANIES

Conditions at Gahcho Kué

- Lake outlet channels are typically broad and shallow, with low banks and in low relief topography
- Small drainage areas, particularly along the Area 8 flow path, mean the channels may freeze solid over winter



April 2014 – Snow Trench across Lake N11 Outlet



Broad Channel / Low Relief Terrain at Lake M4 Outlet



- Downstream Action Levels were established
- Pumping was to be suspended if hydrostatic water levels exceeded the 1:10 year flood level for a receiving lake
 - A downstream monitoring program was developed:
 - Telemetry to measure water levels at Lake N11 and Area 8
 - Field visits to document ice and flow conditions at lake outlets down to Lake 410

Observations of Flow and Ice Conditions at Outlet (circle letter below)					
Open Water	Ice Covered Flow	Dispersed	Concentrated	Ice Covered Flow	Ice Covered
No Bedfast Ice	No Bedfast Ice	Open Water on Bedfast Ice		On Bedfast Ice	Frozen to Bed
Α	В	С	D	E	F





- Pumping was throttled back on 1 January and suspended on 2 January
- 778,745 m³ of water was pumped over 13 days







- Pumping continued through the early part of freshet (14 May 2015) and beyond
- 6,021,000 m³ of water was pumped over 103 days

DE BEERS GROUP OF COMPANIES





Project Overview Project Location Project Features Water Management Winter Dewatering **Challenges Local Conditions Monitoring Plan Monitoring Results** at Area 8 at Lake N11 Downstream **Conclusions**







De Beers GROUP OF COMPANIES

Project Overview Project Location Project Features Water Management Winter Dewatering Challenges Local Conditions Monitoring Plan Monitoring Results at Area 8 at Lake N11 Downstream Conclusions

What else was going on downstream?

- Downstream observations focused on area from Lake N11 to Lake N1 outlet
- Field crews observed some staging up of Lake N1:
 - Concern about ice dam at outlet or at narrows
- Field crews observed thin ice in shallow/narrow areas within lakes:
 - Health and safety concern communicated to all field crews
- Ground Penetrating Radar surveys were performed to confirm ice dams were not forming at other locations





Project Overview Project Location Project Features Water Management Winter Dewatering Challenges Local Conditions Monitoring Plan Monitoring Results at Area 8 at Lake N11 Downstream Conclusions



Locations of Ground Penetrating Radar Ice Thickness Surveys







Project Overview Project Location Project Features Water Management Winter Dewatering **Challenges Local Conditions Monitoring Plan Monitoring Results** at Area 8 at Lake N11 **Downstream Conclusions**

De Beers

GROUP OF COMPANIES



Lake N1 Outlet 27 March 2015







- De Beers considered the risks associated with winter dewatering when developing the Construction Water Management Plan and Aquatic Effects Monitoring Program
- Dry conditions prior to dewatering at Area 8 were not favorable to conveying water downstream. All water discharged went into storage until spring freshet, and pumping was suspended as the action level was approached
- The larger watershed area at Lake N11 was more favorable to conveying water downstream, and dewatering flows were successfully conveyed downstream without exceeding action levels
- Surveys in summer 2015 confirmed no adverse effects to channel morphology





- Factors contributing to the success of the winter dewatering program included:
 - Solid understanding of baseline hydrology
 - Solid understanding of winter flow mechanisms, potential effects and mitigation measures
 - Well developed water management planning including contingencies
 - Well planned and executed operational monitoring programs









