

### Living in a burned landscape: Woodland caribou use of residual patches for calving in the high fire/low anthropogenic Boreal Shield of Saskatchewan



Hans G. Skatter, Michael L. Charlebois, Sindre Eftestøl, Diress Tsegaye, Kjetil Flydal, Jonathan E. Colman, John L. Kansas, Brady Balicki

> SMA Environmental Forum October 18-20, 2016 – Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

# Acknowledgements

# Cameco



- 1) The woodland caribou recovery strategy (RS) (Environment Canada 2012):
  - Woodland caribou require large continuous tracts of undisturbed habitat
  - Forest fires alter habitat, making it unsuitable for caribou
  - Caribou avoid disturbed habitat for several decades post fire





2) Disturbance is quantified as the combined effect of fires <40 years and buffered anthropogenic footprint (visible on Landsat).







3) RS disturbance models assume that all areas within fire polygons are disturbed as opposed to potential existing habitat.





4) In the Boreal Shield of Northern Saskatchewan as much as 55% of the landscape is less than 40 years old, and total buffered anthropogenic disturbance is less than 3% (Environment Canada 2012).





4) In the Boreal Shield of Northern Saskatchewan as much as 55% of the landscape is less than 40 years old, and total buffered anthropogenic disturbance is less than 3% (Environment Canada 2012).



How do caribou cope with this....?



# Study Purpose and Focus

### Document woodland caribou habitat use during calving in this high fire low anthropogenic disturbance landscape.





# Study Area





# Methods

### Caribou capture and telemetry

- March 2013: 49 caribou
- March 2014: 7 additional caribou
- GPS-Iridium satellite collars
- 3-hour duty cycle throughout
- Data collected for 2 calving seasons
- Due to mortalities/collar failure, available data was from 45 (2013) and 46 (2014) animals.

University of Saskatchewan animal use protocol No. 20120105.







# Methods

### Determining calving timing

# Residence Time Method (RT): Hours spent within a 200 m radius

70



(Barraquand and Benhamou 2008)



# Methods Mapping





# Methods Mapping





1. Recent burn



# Methods Land cover types

2. Regenerating forest - young



3. Regenerating forest - old





# Methods Land cover types

### 4. Mature forest





Careford - -----

5. Bog/fen







# Methods

### Available areas/home ranges



Brownian Bridge Movement Models (BBMM) (Horne et al. 2007)



# Methods Modelling

Used three models to analyze resource selection:

Burned (< 40 years) vs. non-burned (> 40 years) areas
Residual vs. non-residual within burned (< 40 years) areas</li>
Land cover types within burned (< 40 years) areas</li>



# Methods Modelling

Used three models to analyze resource selection:

Burned (< 40 years) vs. non-burned (> 40 years) areas
Residual vs. non-residual within burned (< 40 years) areas</li>
Land cover types within burned (< 40 years) areas</li>

Conducted analysis for:

- 1. The RT peak period (calving)
- 2. Three week period following the RT peak period (post-calving)





# **Results** Calving timing and rate



Mean residence time (RT) for all individuals for both years.

- Calving occurs May 17 (range May 5 June 9)
- A total of 79 animals calved (88%) out of 91 individual calving seasons



Model 1: Burned vs. non-burned



A total of 48 out of 79 calving events (61%) occurred within provincial mapped fire polygons younger than 40 years.



Model 1: Burned vs. non-burned





### Model 2: Residual vs. non residual





### Model 3: Land cover selection within burn polygons





### Model 3: Land cover selection within burn polygons



### Model 3: Land cover selection within burn polygons





## So what does this mean?





Provincial fire map





Land cover map





Google Earth Imagery





Burns with residuals = woodland caribou habitat



# Thank you!

